

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/931,914	08/20/2001	Nghi Van Nguyen	05725.0798-00	4346	
75	590 09/09/2003			•	
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			EXAMINER		
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315			ELHILO,	ELHILO, EISA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NOMBER	
			1751	1751	
			DATE MATERIN 00/00/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	``	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/931,914	NGUYEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eisa B Elhilo	1751			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tr		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/931,914

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

- 1 This action is responsive to the response filed on July 10, 2003.
- The rejection of claims 1-13, 15-27 and 29-36 under 35 U.S.C. as being anticipated by Cannell et al. (US 6,435,193 B1) and the rejection of claims 14 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cannell et al. (US 6,435,193 B1) in view of Gott et al. (US 6,287,582 B1) are withdrawn because the applicant submitted with this response a showing under 37 C.F.R. 1.111 to declare that the applied reference of (US 6,435,193 B1), has common inventors with the instant application and, thus, antedates the prior of Cannell et al. (US 6,435,193 B1) and it is not available as prior art.

NEW GROUND OF REJECTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 and 16-23, 27-47, 54-61 and 65-79 of U.S.

Patent No. US 6,435,193 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both set of claims are drawn to similar methods for

Application/Control Number: 09/931,914

Art Unit: 1751

lanthionizing keratin fibers wherein the methods comprise similar steps of applying to the keratin fibers compositions comprising similar ingredients of at least one hydroxide composition and at least one activating composition wherein the ingredients have the same properties differing only in that the instant claims recite an activating composition comprising at least one complexing agent.

However, the claims of the Patent (US' 193) teaches a method for lanthionizing keratin fibers comprising applying to the fibers a composition that comprises at least one complexing agent chosen from amino acids and organic acids that can be represented by the claimed formula (I), in which R_1 is a carbonyl group, R_2 is hydrocarbon linear group, X_1 is a hydroxyl group and X_2 is an amino group (see col. 11, claims 22, 27, 29 and 66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make such a composition by incorporating the complexing agents as taught by the Patent (US' 193). Such modification would be obvious because the Patent (US' 193) clearly teaches a composition for lanthionizing keratin fibers that comprises a complexing agent, which is similar to the claimed formula (I), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed. Absent, unexpected results.

The examiner advised the applicant to provide a proper Terminal Disclaimer (TD) in order to overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217.

Application/Control Number: 09/931,914

Art Unit: 1751

Page 4

The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Eisa Elhilo Patent Examiner Art Unit 1751

August 31, 2003